

TITLE: The incidence of spinal dysfunction in a sample of premenstrual syndrome sufferers

AUTHOR: Dr Max Walsh
Senior Lecturer, Dept Chiropractic, Osteopathy & Complementary Medicine
RMIT Melbourne Australia

Background and Objective:

As part of a randomised clinical trial to determine the efficacy of chiropractic therapy on premenstrual syndrome (PMS), subjects were evaluated for initial underlying spinal dysfunction.

Method:

Volunteers with PMS that responded to calls to participate in a clinical trial underwent an initial interview and examination. Each subject completed a modified Moos PMS Distress Questionnaire, a full history, physical examination and spinal examination (including Oswestry and Neck Disability questionnaires for non PMS conditions). The physical examination was conducted by a fully qualified medical practitioner, and the spinal examination by two fully qualified registered chiropractors. A total of 54 subjects were, as result of the initial interview, accepted into the study as having true PMS according to the DMS-IV-R criteria, and having no absolute contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy. The data collected was entered into an Excel 5 spreadsheet, and contingency tables created. The data was analysed using Chi-Squared tests; the statistical significance being set at $p < 0.05$.

Results:

The parameters analysed as indicators of spinal dysfunction were previous history of spinal conditions (non-PMS), spinal examination findings (ROM, orthopaedic tests, spinal tenderness, muscle weakness, and functional short leg), and functional/disability levels (Oswestry, NDI). All subjects showed no neurological deficits or pathology.

The average age was 35.7 with a range of 20-47, with 11.1% on the contraceptive pill and 17.6% on some form of minor medication. About one third smoked and 17.6% used alcohol moderately or occasionally, while 44.4% were involved in some form of regular exercise.

Spinal history: a history of non PMS related spinal problems was taken for the previous two years with 81.4% of subjects having experienced some form of spinal problems. The problems were neck (48.2%), low back pain (53.8%) and head-ache (31.5%) with 40.1% of these having multiple problems. Of the total sample, 57.4% had had some chiropractic treatment in the past

two years, although none were currently receiving, or had received in the last 3 months, any form of chiropractic therapy.

Spinal examination: reduced or painful movement was experienced in the cervical spine by 31.5% of all subjects, and in the low back by 20.8%. One or more positive orthopaedic tests were shown in the cervical region by 22.2% with 44.4% having positive tests for the low back. Spinal tenderness was quite prevalent in all regions (>74% in cervical, thoracic and lumbar/pelvic regions). Minor muscle weakness was present in 22.6% of subjects, with 55.6% having a functional short leg.

Disability: non- PMS related low back and neck disability ratings were measured using the Oswestry and NDI questionnaires respectively. The results were for the Oswestry and NDI respectively, zero disability 26.3% and 21.1%, minimal disability 47.4% and 47.4%, moderate disability 21.1% and 26.3%, and severe disability 5.3% for both indices.

PMS symptoms: although the majority of PMS symptoms reported were either physical (eg breast tenderness, cramps, bloating), or behavioural/mood (eg depression, irritability, anger, low concentration), 79.2% of the subjects reported either low back pain (20.7%), headache (24.5%) or a combination of these two symptoms (34%) as major PMS symptoms. No other spinal related symptoms were reported as a PMS symptoms, however some general joint pain was occasionally experienced.

Conclusions:

The results indicate a significant level of spinal dysfunction is present in the sample of PMS sufferers in the sample. In total, twelve parameters of spinal dysfunction were measured and all subjects had a positive return (ie indicating possible spinal dysfunction), for at least one of the parameters. The average number of positive response was 5.4 indices with a history of spinal complaints, and spinal tenderness being very prevalent. This would suggest that PMS sufferers are certainly suitable subjects for chiropractic therapy.

Acknowledgment

This project was funded by a grant from the Australian Spinal Research Foundation